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**1NC K**

**Security is based on a desire to control and manage – its epistemological precepts attempt to render everything knowable and hence predictable – means that random variation in IR ensures aggression and enemy creation – makes extinction inevitable**

**Burke 07** – Associate Professor of Politics and International Relations in the University of New South Wales (Anthony, Theory & Event, Volume 10, Issue 2, 2007, “Ontologies of War: Violence, Existence and Reason,” Project MUSE)

This essay develops a theory about the causes of war -- and thus aims to generate lines of action and critique for peace -- that cuts beneath analyses based either on a given sequence of events, threats, … actions perpetuate or help to end the global rule of insecurity and violence? Will our thought?

**Our response is to interrogate the epistemological failures of the 1ac – debate is an academic forum and your job is to inculcate valuable understanding – having a coherent intellectual position is more important than specific political battles**

**Jones 99** – Professor International Politics @ Aberystwyth University (1999, Richard Wyn, Security, Strategy, and Critical Theory, p. 155-163)

The central political task of the intellectuals is to aid in the construction of a

AND

should act as both an inspiration and a challenge to critical security studies.

**Representations are a prior question – political rhetoric frames our understanding of political reality**

**Hinds & Windt Jr 91** – (1991, Lynn Boyd, is Associate Professor

AND

language guides our understanding about rhetoric's role in the political construction of reality.

**1NC OAS**

**No impact to Latin American instability –**

**a. instability causes reforms, not military conflict**

**Perez-Linan 08 –** prof @ Pitt[Aníbal Pérez-Liñán is associate professor of political science at the University of Pittsburgh, “Presidential Impeachment and the New Political Instability in Latin America,” http://www.cup.cam.ac.uk/us/catalogue/catalogue.asp?isbn=9780521178495&ss=fro]

The 1990s were an era of great hopes for Latin America. After the demise

AND

many colleagues and collected a large number of intellectual debts along the way.

**b. several checks solve**

**LA Monitor 09 –** [Latin America Monitor, “Sabres Rattling, But Military Conflict Unlikely” Nov 09 http://www.latinamericamonitor.com/file/84433/sabres-rattling-but-military-conflict-unlikely.html]

BMI View: Tensions between Venezuela and Colombia remain heightened, due to the formers'

AND

, helping to restore at least some semblance of normalcy in bilateral relations.

**Very problematic discourse in your Manwaring evidence –**

**a. the affirmative’s discourse of “failed states” legitimizes an interventionist epistemology that effaces difference, makes north-south inequality inevitable, and is self-fulfilling**

**Eisenträger 12 –** (Stian Eisenträger, MA student in IR, board member at International Reporter, a Norwegian NGO, 3-27-12, “Failed State or Failed Label?: The Concealing Concept and the Case of Somalia,” <http://www.e-ir.info/2012/03/27/failed-state-or-failed-label-the-concealing-concept-and-the-case-of-somalia/>) gz

The end of the Cold War shaped a new international political context where the issues

AND

also when we want to analyse states in Africa, and especially Somalia.

**b. their discourse of terrorism creates a self-fulfilling prophecy and more violence – only alt can solve**

**Jackson 07 –** (Volume 42, Issue 3, Pages 394-426, Published Online: 21 Jun 2007, Constructing Enemies: 'Islamic Terrorism' in Political and Academic Discourse Richard Jackson)

In this case, for example, it can be argued that by denying the

AND

of the dominant discourse and to explore alternative forms of language and knowledge.

**1NC CRED**

**Their calls for multilateralism only serve to mask an underlying system of domination that forms the foundation of intervention and empire**

**Campbell 07** – International Boundaries Research Unit, Geography Department, Durham University (David, “Performing security: The imaginative geographies of current US strategy” 2007)

It is important to highlight the way performativity’s idea of reiteration calls attention to changes

AND

of opposition, including the emergence of new, mobile geographies of exclusion.

**Multiple alt causes**

**Burgsdorff, 9–** Ph. D in Political Science from Freiburg University, EU Fellow at the University of Miami (Sven Kühn von, “Problems and Opportunities for the Incoming Obama Administration”, http://aei.pitt.edu.proxy.lib.umich.edu/11047/1/vonBurgsdorfUSvsCubalong09edi.pdf)//DR. H

6.3 How would the international community react? At international level all major

AND

**it would be interpreted** by the international community **as** **steps towards effective multilateralism.**

**Turns– Discourse that constructs the roles for US allies legitimates the exclusion of others, crushing multilateralism**

**Bialasiewicz et al 07 –** Luiza Bialasiewicz a, David Campbell b, Stuart Elden b, Stephen Graham b, Alex Jeffrey c, Alison J. Williams a Department of Geography, Royal Holloway University of London, United Kingdom b International Boundaries Research Unit, Geography Department, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE, United Kingdom c School of Geography, Politics and Sociology, University of Newcastle-upon-Tyne, United Kingdom, “Performing security: The imaginative geographies of current US strategy” Political Geography 26 (2007) 405e422, via sciencedirect.com)

As we argue throughout this paper, the distinctive thing about recent National Security Strategies

AND

, the ‘lone superpower’ has little influence in the absence of support.

**Plan can’t solve multilateralism**

**Lake, 10** – Professor of Social Sciences, distinguished professor of political science at UC San Diego (David A., “Making America Safe for the World: Multilateralism and the Rehabilitation of US authority”, http://dss.ucsd.edu/~dlake/documents/LakeMakingAmericaSafe.pdf)

President Obama and his administration appear to recognize the need to bolster the authority and

AND

even deeper into multilateral institutions that can provide real checks on potential opportunism.

**Multilateralism doesn’t solve anything – four reasons**

**Harvey 04** – University Research Professor of International Relations, professor in the Department of Political Science, and the director of the Centre for Foreign Policy Studies at Dalhousie University (Frank, Smoke And Mirrors: Globalized Terrorism And The Illusion Of Multilateral Security, p. 43-45) // MS

The typical argument favouring multilateralism is a simple one, sum- marized by Ramesh

AND

threats virtually guarantees that similar conflicts will plague multilateral institutions in the future.

**No impact to the transition- the liberal system is fine now**

**Ikenberry 08** – professor of Politics and International Affairs at Princeton University (John, “The Rise of China and the Future of the West Can the Liberal System Survive?” Jan/Feb 2008, Foreign Affairs,)

Some observers believe that the American era is coming to an end, as the

AND

, but the Western order -- if managed properly -- will live on.

**Tons of alt causes and Cuba’s not key – their ev.**

**Grandin 10** – teaches history at New York University and is a member of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences (Greg, “Empire's Senescence: U.S. Policy in Latin America,” *New Labor Forum*, 19:1, Winter 2010, pg. 14-23)//DR. H

Washington’s relations with Latin America—particularly in terms of the gap between what its policy toward the region is and what it could be—precisely measure the degree to which domestic ideologies, narrow corporate and sectional interests, and a … by a **frantic attempt to hold on to what it has left** as it enters its senescence—as domestic ideologues, unchecked corporate power, and political paralysis quicken the U.S.’s fall.

**Independently their authors are suspect to psychological bias – reject their vague assertions for conflict scenarios absent hegemony – star this card**

**Fettweis 11 –** [Christopher J. Fettweis - Department of Political Science Tulane University and Professor of National Security Affairs at the US Naval War College, “Free Riding or Restraint Examining European Grand Strategy”, Comparative Strategy; Sep/Oct2011, Vol. 30 Issue 4, p316-332, 17p, Chetan]

Assertions that without the combination of U.S. capabilities, presence and commitments

AND

their security is all but assured, with or without the United States.

**Their understanding of Cuba reduces it to an object of American desire – the affective depiction of Cuba as an indispensable tool for American interests has create a relationship defined by domination**

Pérez 08 – (Louis A., Ph.D. University of New Mexico, Professor of History at University of North Carolina, "Cuba in the American Imagination: Metaphor and the Imperial Ethos,")

Cuba came to the attention of the world at large principally by way of figurative

AND

Catherine Marshall described as "mutual knowledge based on com- munity membership?"

# 2nc

**2NC MULTILAT**

**The entire framing of the 2ac on the case – is that the alternative is net worse because the alt is unipolarity. This is just a ruse – pursuit of US control in multilateral forums is a product of death anxiety – the illusion of invulnerability is an attempt to cope with an uncertain world – this myth places us on a psychological treadmill which guarantees global violence – turns the case**

**Lifton 03** – Robert Jay Lifton, Visiting Professor of Psychiatry at Harvard Medical School, previously Distinguished Professor of Psychiatry and Psychology at the Graduate School and Director of The Center on Violence and Human Survival at John Jay College of Criminal Justice at the City University of New York, 2003

[Superpower Syndrome: America’s Apocalyptic Confrontation With The World, Published by Thunder’s Mouth Press / Nation Books, ISBN 1560255129, p. 125-130 // BATMAN]

It is almost **un-American** to be vulnerable. As a people, we

AND

both the superpower and the world it acts upon may become **dangerously destabilized**.

**2NC INTERNAL LINK D**

**They only have evidence that the plan is a multilateral action, not that it changes US foreign policy – Obama won’t change military doctrine which takes out case and their stance masks mass violence**

**Lifton 11** – (Robert Jay Lifton, not an aff author, 2011, “Witness to an Extreme Century: A Memoir,” pp 405-7) gz

With all of the American angst during the first year or so of the Obama

AND

with work I have done in areas that have contributed to that syndrome.

**AT: PLAN SHIFTS TO MULTILAT**

**Their argument feeds into the systems of domination endemic to Obama’s presidency – vote neg to reject their masking of mass imperialism**

**Comissiong 13** – (Solomon Comissiong, educator, activist, public speaker, founder of the Your World News community media collective, member of the Black Agenda Report, 5-11-13, “The More Effective Evil: The Impact of Barack Obama’s Presidency on the Black Community,” transcribed from video, 0:20-2:40, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=i-LAJSlO3GU>) gz

Since becoming the US’s first black president in 2008, Barack Obama has proven to

AND

Democrat made him the white establishment’s perfect weapon to pacify the black community.

**\*\*\*OAS**

**\*\*FW**

**2NC OVERVIEW**

**Security politics causes states to adopt a fortress mentality – this crushes international cooperation which is critical to stop disease spread**

**Enemark 09 –** (Is Pandemic Flu a Security Threat? Author: Christian Enemark DOI: 10.1080/00396330902749798 Publication Frequency: 6 issues per year Published in: journal Survival, Volume 51, Issue 1 February 2009 , pages 191 - 214

Furthermore, it is a common misconception that individual states can turn themselves into fortresses

AND

an approach is futile against a disease that is impervious to political barriers.

**The fear sparked by disease security logic causes shutdown of society – impact is extinction**

**Enemark 09 –** (Is Pandemic Flu a Security Threat? Author: Christian Enemark DOI: 10.1080/00396330902749798 Publication Frequency: 6 issues per year Published in: journal Survival, Volume 51, Issue 1 February 2009 , pages 191 - 214

On this point, it is worth considering why it is that military threats are

AND

to remain as a foundation for framing the threat as a security issue.

**2NC FRAMEWORK**

**their threat prediction method turns debate into a catastrophic prediction market – the ballot becomes the currency awarded to the most catastrophic and inevitable impact – this orients society towards permanent war and evacuates critical public spheres which means the AFF becomes anti-political – turns case and crushes education**

**Elmer and Opel 06 –** Greg Elmer, Bell Globemedia Research Chair in the School of Radio TV Arts at Ryerson University, and Andy Opel, assistant professor in the Department of Communication at Florida State University, July-September 2006, Cultural Studies, Vol. 20, No. 4-5, p. 488-490

Throughout the media discourse over the virtues or moral failings of the policy analysis markets

AND

to investigate impropriety or ethical lapses because the fraud may be our very own

# 1nr

**State moral agency needed to address global challenges.**

Erskine 1 — Toni Erskine, Professor of International Politics at Aberystwyth University, Honorary Professor of Global Ethics at RMIT University, holds a Ph.D. from Cambridge University, 2001 (“Assigning Responsibilities to Institutional Moral Agents: The Case of States and Quasi-States,” *Ethics & International Affairs*, Volume 15, Issue 2, Available Online to Subscribing Institutions via Wiley, p. 83)

First, if only individuals, and never institutions, are seen to be moral

AND

individuals, its meagre help with global problems should not surprise us.”46